	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability			
	10/728,496 Examiner	SAHA ET AL. Art Unit	
	BERNARD KRASNIC	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communication. This application is sub-	nis application. If not include cation will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 2/24/2010.			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1, 3-4, 8-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19,</u>	22, 25, 27, 31, and 33-37 now	renumbered as 1-21.	
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unalled All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	`	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received ir	n this national stage applica	tion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		reply complying with the red	quirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			OTICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.			
(a) \square including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner'Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in	the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			back) of
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Infor	emal Patant Application	
 Induce of References Cited (PTO-092) Induce of Professional Professio	5. ☐ Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), 	Paper No./Ma	ail Date <u>20100310</u> . nendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		atement of Reasons for Allo	wance
of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other		
/Bernard Krasnic/			
Examiner, Art Unit 2624			



Application No.

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action incorporates an <u>Examiner's Amendment</u> and <u>Reasons For</u> Allowance.

- 2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/24/2010 has been entered.
- 3. The Applicant has canceled claim(s) 2, 5-7, 12, 18, 20, 21, 26, 28 and 32.
- 4. The application has pending claim(s) 1, 3-4, 8-11, 13-17, 19, 22-25, 27, 31, and 33-34.
- In response to the Request for Continued Examination filed on 2/24/2010:
 The "Objections to the drawings and claims" have been entered and therefore

the Examiner withdraws the objections to the drawings and claims.

Art Unit: 2624

6. The Declaration filed on 2/24/2010 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Borgefors ("Fuzzy border distance transforms and their use in 2D skeletonization" – August 2002, as applied in previous Office Action) reference.

7. Applicant's arguments, see "Response to Examiner's Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 ..." in pages 7-9, filed 2/24/2010, with respect to pending claim(s) 1, 3-4, 8-11, 13-17, 19, 22-25, 27, 31, and 33-34 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections of claim(s) 1, 3-4, 8-11, 13-17, 19, 22-25, 27, 31, and 33-34 has been withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

8. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ms. Evelyn McConathy (Reg. No. 35, 279) on March 10, 2010.

The application has been amended as follows:

For the claims on pages 4-6 of the Applicant's Request for Continued Examination dated 2/24/2010:

1. Please further amend claims 1, 14, 15, 17, 19, 22-24, 27, 33 and 35-37 as shown by the attached pages.

Art Unit: 2624

Claim 1. (Currently Amended) A fuzzy distance transform-based computational method for analyzing digital images defining a volumetric region of an object from an image comprising:

- (a) obtaining an image of the targeted object, comprising <u>bone</u>, bone marrow space, cortical bone, blood vessels-or lung airways or combinations thereof;
- (b) finding a plurality of points in the image to generate a fuzzy subset and computing a fuzzy distance transform (FDT) of the fuzzy subset, comprising sampling FDT values along a medial axis of a support of the fuzzy subset to estimate regional target object thickness distribution and assigning to a point in the fuzzy subset, its respective fuzzy distance from a complement of a support of the fuzzy subset;
- (c) compiling a computer processed plot or revised image based upon the computed FDT; and
- (d) displaying same in high resolution.
- Claim 14. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1 claim 9, wherein the targeted object is in or from an animal or human subject.
- Claim 15. Cancelled.
- Claim 17. (Currently Amended) A fuzzy distance transform-based computational method for evaluating or diagnosing bone disease in a subject by analyzing digital

Art Unit: 2624

images defining at least one volumetric region of bone from or in the subject, the method comprising:

- (a) obtaining an image of targeted bone region;
- (b) finding a plurality of points in the image to generate a fuzzy subset and computing a fuzzy distance transform (FDT) of the fuzzy subset, comprising sampling FDT values along a medial axis of a support of the fuzzy subset to estimate regional target object thickness distribution and assigning to a point in the fuzzy subset its respective fuzzy distance from a complement of a support of the fuzzy subset;
- (c) compiling a computer processed plot or revised image based upon the computed FDT; and
- (d) displaying same in high resolution.
- Claim 19. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 18 claim 17, wherein the support comprises a set of all points in the fuzzy subset with a value greater than or equal to a support value.
- Claim 22. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 17, further comprising diagnosing or evaluating selecting a therapy based on the diagnosis or evaluation of bone disease in the subject.

Claims 23-24. Cancelled.

Art Unit: 2624

Claim 27. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 26 claim 25, wherein the support comprises a set of all points in the fuzzy subset with a value greater than or equal to a support value.

Claim 33. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 32 claim 31, wherein the support comprises a set of all points in the fuzzy subset with a value greater than or equal to a support value.

Claim 35. (New) The method of claim 22, further comprising selecting a therapy based on the diagnosis or evaluation of bone disease in the subject.

Claim 36. (New) The method of claim 35, further comprising administering the therapy to the subject.

Claim 37. (New) The method of claim 36, wherein the evaluation further comprises monitoring a progression or regression of bone disease in the subject, during or at one or more times after administering the selected therapy.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1, 3-4, 8-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19, 22, 25, 27, 31, and 33-37 (now renumbered as 1-21, for issue) are allowed.

Independent claim 1 (now renumbered as claim 1, for issue) respectively recites the limitations of: (a) obtaining an image of the targeted object, comprising bone, bone marrow space, cortical bone, blood vessels or combinations thereof; (b) finding a plurality of points in the image to generate a fuzzy subset and computing a fuzzy distance transform (FDT) of the fuzzy subset, comprising sampling FDT values along a medial axis of a support of the fuzzy subset to estimate regional target object thickness distribution and assigning to a point in the fuzzy subset, its respective fuzzy distance from a complement of a support of the fuzzy subset.

Independent claim 17 (now renumbered as claim 13, for issue) respectively recites the limitations of: (a) obtaining an image of targeted bone region; (b) finding a plurality of points in the image to generate a fuzzy subset and computing a fuzzy distance transform (FDT) of the fuzzy subset, comprising sampling FDT values along a medial axis of a support of the fuzzy subset to estimate regional target object thickness distribution and assigning to a point in the fuzzy subset its respective fuzzy distance from a complement of a support of the fuzzy subset.

The combination of these features as cited in the claims in combination with the other limitations of the claims are neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art of record.

The closest reference Wang ("Some Sequential Algorithms for a Generalized Distance Transformation Based on Minkowski Operations" – IEEE – 1992, pages 1114-1121, as applied in previous Office Action) discloses assigning a point in the subset its respective distance from a complement of a support of the subset. However, Wang does not teach the limitations cited above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Krasnic whose telephone number is (571) 270-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00am-4:00pm and every other Friday 8:00am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2624

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bhavesh M Mehta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 /Bernard Krasnic/ March 10, 2010